



JONATHAN GLASSON QC

Jonathan Glasson QC practises in an eclectic and broad range of work. His practice includes international law, human rights, inquests and inquiries, public law, asylum, extradition, and mutual assistance, product liability and clinical negligence.

MAIN AREAS OF PRACTICE:

Constitutional law
Extradition and Mutual Assistance
Human Rights and Civil Liberties
Immigration, Asylum and Free
Movement
Inquests and Inquiries
Media and Information Law
Public and Private International
Law
Public Law

Called to the Bar 1996

Appointed to Silk 2013

CONTACT:

jonathanglasson@matrixlaw.co.uk
+44 (0)20 7404 3447
Paul Venables, Practice Manager
pv@matrixlaw.co.uk

EXPERIENCE

Jonathan has extensive experience of acting in international jurisdictions. He has been instructed on a number of ECHR cases, both for the UK Government as well as for individuals from the Russian Federation and from the CIS. He has acted for the UK Government in proceedings before ICTY. Jonathan has advised on cases arising from proceedings in Cyprus, Switzerland, Ukraine and the Czech Republic. He has undertaken a number of trial observations for the International Bar Association and has also conducted human rights training for the IBA.

RECENT CASES

R (oao Campaign against Arms Trade) v Secretary of State for International Trade (Divisional Court, 2017): challenge to the export licensing of arms to Saudi Arabia for use in the Yemen conflict.

K, A and B v Secretary of State for Defence and Foreign Secretary public law claim made against the UK government by Afghan nationals claiming to have been used as covert human intelligence sources [2016] EWHC 1261 (Admin); [2017] EWHC 830 (Admin).

L1 v Secretary of State for the Home Department (no.2) [2015] EWCA Civ 1410 and L1 v Secretary of State for the Home Department (no 1) [2013] EWCA Civ 906 The secretary of state had deliberately waited until a suspected terrorist had left the country before setting in train the procedure under the British Nationality Act 1981 s.40(2) to deprive him of his British nationality. The Court of Appeal upheld the conclusion of the Special Immigration Appeals Commission that this was not an abuse of process.

Bodo Community v Shell Petroleum Development Co of Nigeria [2014] EWHC 1973 (TCC) Claim for damages arising from oil spill in Bodo in Nigeria. Preliminary issues of law as to the operation of the Oil Pipelines Act.

The Prosecutor vs Karadžić (ICTY). Instructed by the UK Government in relation to disclosure requests by the accused.

Pritchard v United Kingdom (ECHR). Instructed by the UK Government in first case subsequent to the Grand Chamber judgment in Al-Skeini.

Patel v State of India and SSHD [2013] EWHC 819 (Admin) Acted for the Secretary of State in extradition appeal where appellant alleged that his extradition would breach specialty.

Khodorkovskiy and Lebedev (no 2) v Russian Federation (2014) 59 EHRR 7. Acted for Khodorkovskiy, the former CEO of Yukos, the Russian oil giant. The European Court of Human Rights found that during the first trial the applicants had been detained in conditions contrary to Article 3; that the trial was unfair contrary to Article 6; that the decision to send Khodorkovskiy to serve his sentence in Siberia was unlawful and contrary to Article 8; that the decision to impose a huge damages award in relation to alleged tax debts was arbitrary and contrary to Article 1 of Protocol No 1 and that his ECHR lawyers had been subjected to harassment and intimidation contrary to Article 34.

Stopyra v Poland and Debreceni v Hungary [2012] EWHC 1787 (Admin) [2013] 1 All ER 187 The court considered issues relating to the delays in the

Jonathan Glasson QC:

jonathanglassonn@matrixlaw.co.uk

+44 (0)20 7404 3447

Paul Venables, Practice Manager

paulvenables@matrixlaw.co.uk

**DIRECTORIES'
RECOMMENDATIONS**

"He is a very fair counsel who is highly effective."

"He is a very level-headed individual who doesn't get flustered by the big challenges that arise in these cases."

Chambers and Partners 2015

"A new silk with a very broad practice and expertise in many international jurisdictions."

"extremely well prepared and has a huge intellect. Very clever, he knows the law and is extremely personable. He's well regarded by all the top solicitors. It's obvious he's very bright but it's his personality that really shines out."

Chambers and Partners 2014

"an impressive new Silk"

Legal 500 2013**APPOINTMENTS**

2013: Queen's Counsel

2007: Junior Counsel to the Crown, A Panel

2002: Junior Counsel to the Crown, B Panel

2000: Junior Counsel to the Crown, C Panel

provision of legal funding in European arrest warrant cases under the Extradition Act 2003 Pt 1. It indicated that there was a systemic failing in the structure of the present system for means testing which produced unacceptable delays that were contrary to the time limits set out in the Act and the Framework Decision and inconsistent with overarching principles of fairness and justice in timely decision-making in extradition cases, and invited the Ministry of Justice to undertake an urgent revision of that system to eliminate delays.

E1/(OS Russia) v SSHD [2012] EWCA Civ 357 [2012] 1 WLR 3198 An appeal against an order dismissing the appellant's claim for judicial review of the notice of the respondent's decision to cancel his Indefinite Leave to Remain in the UK.

R (oao Delezuch) v Chief Constable of Leicestershire and R (oao Duggan) v Association of Chief Police Officers [2014] EWCA Civ 1635 Case concerning separation of officers before they recorded their accounts of what happened following a fatal use of force.

R (oao Duggan) v Assistant Deputy Coroner for Northern District of Greater London [2014] EWHC 3343 (Admin) (2015) 179 JP An inquest jury considering whether the killing of Mark Duggan had been lawful was not required also to consider whether the mistaken belief was reasonable. The criminal law test for self-defence applied at inquests not the civil law test.

Inquest into the death of Mark Duggan (2013-2014) Before HHJ Cutler, an inquest into the death of Mark Duggan following his shooting by armed officers of the MPS.

Inquiry into the death of Azelle Rodney (2012-2013) Before Sir Christopher Holland, instructed by the Independent Police Complaints Commission.

Mohan v Mohan [2013] EWCA Civ 586 [2013] Fam Law 959 Instructed by Attorney General to act as Amicus in appeal concerning privilege against self-incrimination in divorce proceedings and whether rules compatible with Article 6 ECHR.

HM Attorney General v HM Coroner Sheffield and HM Coroner Wakefield [2012] EWHC 3783 (Admin) Application to quash the inquests into the deaths of those who died as a consequence of the disaster at the Hillsborough Stadium. Led by the Attorney General.

Rawlinson and Hunter and Vincent Tchenguiz v Director of the Serious Fraud Office [2012] EWHC 2254 (Admin) [2013] 1 WLR 1634 Misrepresentations and non-disclosure by the SFO led to the quashing of the search warrants and indemnity costs. Instructed by Stephenson Harwood to act for Vincent Tchenguiz.

SSHD v AY [2012] EWHC 2054 (Admin) The Terrorism Prevention and Investigation Measures Act 2011 Sch.8 para.4 made clear that the period during which a person was subject to a control order was ignored for all purposes concerning a subsequent terrorism prevention and investigation measures notice. The control order was therefore irrelevant in considering whether, for the purposes of condition C of s.3 of the Act, it was necessary to impose restrictive measures on that person.

Khodorkovsky v Russian Federation (2011 Application number 5829/04 (judgment, May 2011) (2011) 53 EHRR 32 Judgment in the first application brought by the former CEO of Yukos concerning his arrest and pre-trial detention. Court held that his initial arrest was unlawful and for an ulterior purpose; that he was subsequently detained in unsatisfactory conditions which deteriorated such that they became inhuman and degrading; being confined within an iron cage in the court room was humiliating and contrary to Article 3; and the bail hearings were "flawed in many respects" and his "continuous detention was not justified by compelling reasons outweighing the presumption of liberty".

For a full case list, please visit: matrixlawinternational.com/lawyer/jonathan-glasson-qc